

# The Citizen's Role in Environmental Matters

The Argentinean case

Gabriel R. Macchiavello

# I. Decision Making Processes

Law Nro. 25,675 (General Environmental Act)

EIA

## **Public Hearing**

#### Villivar Case

- ✓ In 2003, a Court in the Province of Chubut, issued an injuction.
- ✓ It ordered the suspension of open-pit mining activities due to the failure of comply with a public hearing.



## II. Access to Public Information

- Law Nro. 25,675 (General Environmental Act)
  - ✓ Sections 16, 17 and 18
- Law Nro. 25,831 (Free Access to Environmental Public Information Act).
  - ✓ It guarantees access to public records and files related to environmental matters.



## III. Access to Justice

## • Where?

- ✓ Jurisdiction: Civil Courts or Federal Courts (if action against State).
- ✓ Supreme Court?
- ✓ Venue: location where facts occurred or defendant 's domicile.



#### On What Grounds?

- ✓ Section 1,113 of the CC imposes strict liability on the owner or custodian for harm resulting from the risks or defects of a good.
- ✓ Section 2,618 of the CC imposes liability for nuisance caused by smoke, heat, odors, light, noise, vibrations and the like, arising from activities in neighboring areas.
- ✓ Liability arises when normal tolerance levels are exceeded as a result of such disturbances, taking into account the nature of the place, even if an administrative permit exists.



- ✓ General Environmental Act (GEA) Section 28: Who caused environmental damage must restore it to its prior condition (polluters pay principle).
- ✓ Environmental Injunctive Relief (Section 43 National Constitution) known as "recurso de amparo colectivo."
- ✓ Right to a healthy environment Section 41 NC.
- Cease of contamination or injunction to prevent environmental damages.



- Who has legal standing?
  - ✓ Wide legal standing to file for an injunctive relief. (Section 43 NC)
  - ✓ Wide legal standing to bring claims on environmental damages: Federal government, Provinces, Municipalities, Ombudsman, NGO's and affected individuals. (General Environmental Act – GEA)
    - ✓ Affected individual vs. individual who owns an interest in the impaired resource.
    - ✓ Ability to sue on behalf of others vs. aggrieved party
    - ✓ No mandatory intervention of the Attorney General.
    - ✓ Possibility of suing in forma pauperis (waiver to litigate without cost).



- The Mendoza Case and the Legal Standing of Non-Governmental Organizations (NGOs)
  - ✓ The Supreme Court accepted certain NGOs to intervene as third-parties when their statutes include the objective to protection of the environment.



• Who can be sued?

Anyone suspected to have caused environmental damage. Exemption of liability: Proving that, despite victim's fault or a third party's fault, preventive measures have been adopted. Prove due diligence.

- ✓ No need for the plaintiff to bring the case first to the administrative authorities. However administrative files are important.
- ✓ Mediation : Mandatory prior lawsuit in some jurisdictions.
- ✓ No need for the plaintiff to gather previous evidence to be able to sue.
- ✓ Discovery period vs. Evidentiary period.



- What can be a sought?
  - ✓ Environment restoration: environmental damage is any relevant alteration negatively affecting the environment.
  - ✓ If remediation technically unfeasible: Pay off to the Environmental Compensation Fund.
    - ✓ Compliance with legal limits for emissions and wastes release vs. environmental damage
    - ✓ Remediation
    - ✓ Enforcement authorities vs. Courts



- The Halabi Case (Class Action)
- ✓ The Supreme Court in *Halabi v Executive Branch* (2009) designed the features of class actions.
- ✓ The court upheld that it is "perfectly acceptable" for an individual affected, the Ombudsman or certain NGOs to file a collective claim on behalf of the class.
- ✓ The claim has to be focused in the common effects, not in the individual ones.
- ✓ Shall this collective action proceed in environmental matters?